



RED ZONE and USE OF FORCE REPORT FOR AUGUST, 2001


For the month of August 2001, three facilities went in the red, while three other facilities were identified as having an upward trend above the mean average.

Note to reader: The mean average bar in this and previous reports fluctuate depending on the actual number of incidents from the previous month's report. Therefore, if a facility appears to have been in the red for several months, and was not reflected in previous reports, it's because the mean average bar was lowered.

Those in the red for the month of August:

San Saba State School. This is the first month that San Saba went in the red, and Dorms 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, and 5A are leading the way with 46, 47, 42, 43, and 47 incidents occurring for every 10 youth respectively.

INCIDENT RATE PER 100 ADP SAN SABA



Dorm 1A is primarily experiencing problems out of six youth. Of the 99 incidents that occurred on that dorm, 75 (76%) were generated by Toney Coleman (15),

Mark Smith (14), Brandon Soliz (13), Richard Valdez (13), Julian Sifuentes (11) and Jeremy Odum (9).


Dorm 1 B is primarily experiencing problems out of four youth. Of the 100 incidents that occurred on that dorm, 77 (77%) were generated by Ricardo Luna (40 total), Joseph Debellis (15), Edward Ganez (12), and Jone Jennings (10). Luna obviously needs a tighter behavioral plan as he alone contributed to 40% of all incidents on that dorm.

Dorm 2A is primarily experiencing problems out of four youth. Of the 90 incidents that dorm, 61 (68%) were generated by Roger Shakley (22), Calvin Bell (20), Marquis Allen (11) and Gary Mangum (8).

Dorm 2B is primarily experiencing problems out of four youth. Of the 95 incidents occurring on that dorm, 77 (81%) were generated by Tommy Walker (25), Timothy Miller (22), Roland Cruz (19) and Mathew Alderson (11).

Dorm 5A is primarily experiencing problems out of three youth. Of the 110 incidents occurring on that dorm, 75 (68%) by Jonathan Davis (32), Christopher Keith (26), and Rogelio Mendez (17).

A look at the campus totals indicates that the majority of the incidents are occurring on the dorms, with 705 (which is 82% of all incidents occurring at that facility) showing this month alone. The following graph breaks these 705 incidents down by priority:




*ASSAULT STAFF/STUDENT, ESCAPE OR ATTEMPT, POSSESS WEAPON
**POSSESS SUBSTANCE, DANGER TO OTHERS, SELF-INJURY, ESCAPE RISK
***DISRUPT PROGRAM, DESTROY PROPERTY, VANDALISM, REFUSE REQUEST

Of the 12 "High Priority" incidents:

- 11 were for assault of youth/other
- 1 was for possession of a weapon

Giddings State School: Giddings has been here before.

INCIDENT RATE PER 100 ADP GIDDINGS




Dorm 3A (female unit) led the way in June's report, and they again are leading the way for the August report. A look at the campus totals indicates that the majority of these incidents are occurring on the dorms, with 177 incidents (53% of the campus total) occurring on the dorm. However, a significant number of incidents are also occurring in the education departments, with 75 (22% of the total) incidents showing for August.

The following graph and charts break down these incidents on the dorms by priority:

INCIDENT LOCATION: DORM

08/01/2001 - 08/31/2001

** CLICK ON PIE SLICES TO VIEW INCIDENTS **



*ASSAULT STAFF/STUDENT, ESCAPE OR ATTEMPT, POSSESS WEAPON
 **POSSESS SUBSTANCE, DANGER TO OTHERS, SELF-INJURY, ESCAPE RISK
 ***DISRUPT PROGRAM. DESTROY PROPERTY. VANDALISM. REFUSE REQUEST

Of the seven "High Priority" incidents:

- All seven were for assault on youth/others


Of the 44 "Medium Priority" incidents:

- 27 were for danger to others
- 14 were for danger to injure self
- 3 were use/possession of a substance

Of the 126 "Low Priority" incidents

- All were for disruption of programs

Concerning incidents occurring in the education programs:



Of the 7 "High Priority" incidents:

- 4 were for assaults on youth/others
- 3 were for assaults on staff

Of the 11 "Medium Priority" incidents:

- 7 were for danger to others
- 4 were for danger to self


Of the 57 "Low Priority" incidents:

- All were for disruption of programs

There were no youth that generated 15 or more incidents in one month.

Brownwood Unit 2: This is a good example of how the median line changes which can make a facility appear to be in the red for several consecutive months, which is really not the case. Brownwood Unit 2 is too small to pinpoint a particular problem area, and the truth is that no single youth in the facility has generated more than 10 incidents during August 2001. Nevertheless, they went in the red.

INCIDENT RATE PER 100 ADP BROWNWOOD UT2



If one had to identify a problem area, it would have to be dorm 6, which is leading the way with 45 total incidents for August 2001. Of those 45 incidents, 36 were for disruption, 6 were for danger to others, and 3 were for danger to injure self. In addition, there were 19 admissions to the security unit. There were no instances where force was needed.


According to the division director overseeing that facility, Brownwood 2 is 18 over its bed rated capacity, and the majority of their commitments are the results of negative transfers. The majority of the girls in the facility are phases 0 and 1's. One contributing factor is the fact that Brownwood 2 has 106 females with at least 3 treatment needs, of which only 29 are receiving any treatment. Out of 128 females at the facility, 19 have 1 or less treatment needs.

The majority of these incidents campus wide are occurring on the dorms. 147 incidents (90% of all incidents) occurred in that area. The following graph breaks those incidents down by priority:

INCIDENT LOCATION: DORM

08/01/2001 - 08/31/2001

** CLICK ON PIE SLICES TO VIEW INCIDENTS **



*ASSAULT STAFF/STUDENT, ESCAPE OR ATTEMPT, POSSESS WEAPON
**POSSESS SUBSTANCE, DANGER TO OTHERS, SELF-INJURY, ESCAPE RISK
***DISRUPT PROGRAM. DESTROY PROPERTY. VANDALISM. REFUSE REQUEST

Of the 2 "High Priority" incidents:

- One was for possession of a weapon
- One was for assault of youth/other

Of the 39 "Medium Priority" incidents:

- 22 were for danger to injure self
- 17 were for danger to others

Of the 106 "Low Priority" incidents:

- All were for disruption of programs

No youth on this campus generated 15 or more incidents for August 2001.


Three facilities are above the mean average and going up.

Facility	Mean Average Rate	Actual Rate
Crockett	315.6	415
Evins	133.7	142.56
Gainesville	217.5	225.24

With regards to those facilities in the red on the Use of Force:


USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP

MARLIN O & A UNIT




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP

MCLENNAN CJCC




And everyone else:


USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP BROWNWOOD UT1




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP BROWNWOOD UT2




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP CORSICANA RTC




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP CROCKETT




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP EVINS REG JUV CNTR




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP GAINESVILLE




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP GIDDINGS




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP HAMILTON



USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP JEFFERSON COUNTY SS




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP SAN SABA




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP

TYC BT CMP—SHEFFIELD




USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP

VICTORY FIELD



USE OF FORCE RATE PER 100 ADP WEST TEXAS



INCIDENT / USE OF FORCE RATE ASSESSMENT

Facility Name:	Date:
----------------	-------

UNIT / DEPT: _____ FOR THE PERIOD: _____
 No. OF INCIDENTS: _____ No. USE OF FORCE: _____

I. Campus contributing factors		Describe Issue	Possible Corrective Action
1.			
2.			
II. Dorm / Staff contributing factors		Describe Issue	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)
1.			
2.			

II. Dorm / Staff contributing factors	Describe Issue	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)
III. Individual Youth	Describe Issues (include # incidents / use of force for this youth)	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)

UNIT / DEPT: _____ FOR THE PERIOD: _____
 No. OF INCIDENTS: _____ No. USE OF FORCE: _____

I. Campus contributing factors	Describe Issue	Possible Corrective Action
II. Dorm / Staff contributing factors	Describe Issue	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)

III. Individual Youth	Describe Issues (include # incidents / use of force for this youth)	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)

UNIT / DEPT:
FOR THE PERIOD:
No. OF INCIDENTS:
No. USE OF FORCE:

I. Campus contributing factors	Describe Issue	Possible Corrective Action
II. Dorm / Staff contributing factors	Describe Issue	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)
III. Individual Youth	Describe Issues (include # incidents / use of force for this youth)	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)

UNIT / DEPT: _____ FOR THE PERIOD: _____
 No. OF INCIDENTS: _____ No. USE OF FORCE: _____

I. Campus contributing factors	Describe Issue	Possible Corrective Action
II. Dorm / Staff contributing factors	Describe Issue	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)
III. Individual Youth	Describe Issues (include # incidents / use of force for this youth)	Corrective Action taken / planned (with date)